♠ AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	UNITED ST	TATES DIS	TRICT COURT	U.S. DISTRICE SOURT DISTRICT OF LOSMASKA	
		_ District of	NEBR	ASKA UNI DI O. E.O.	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	_		American II III 9- 27	
v. ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRI				PENDING TRIALLERK	
	BYRON NOE LOPEZ		Number: 4:06CR3184		
	Defendant	_			
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
Part I—Findings of Fact					
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in				
(3)	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)				
x (1	(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense				
	★ for which a maximum term of improduced under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	isonment of ten	years or 21 U.S.C. Sec.	. 801 et seq	
X (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estal the appearance of the defendant as required and the			conditions will reasonably assure	
		Alternative Findings	s (B)		
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.				
	Dent II Wilde	C44			
	of the evidence that		asons for Detention blishes by	ncing evidence a prepon-	
				<u></u>	
to the e reasonal Governi	Part III— e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving so the opportunity for private consultation with defensement, the person in charge of the corrections facility section with a court proceeding.	entences or being hel counsel—Qn order or	ted representative for confinement d in custody pending appeal. The facourt of the United States or or the court of the United States or or the court of the United States or the court of th	e defendant shall be afforded a on request of an attorney for the	
1-11-01 / Mary Trester					
	Date /	Γ ₁	Signature of Judicial Officer vid L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Jud	dae	
			Name and Title of Indicial Officer		

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).